

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vurginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,039	08/09/2000	Jamey Graham	15358-004240US	5597
20350	20350 7590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND RCADERO CENTER	CAMPBELL	CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D	
EIGHTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		2178	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Joshua D. Campbell 2178  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address −  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, IRROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **WHICHEVER IS LONGER, IRROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **J NO period for reply is appoilised above, the reaction and interest the interest that the interest the interest that is appoilised above, the reaction of the interest that the interest that is a processed by the Official teacher but here been entired in the interest that he maintendent is the reaction of the communication.  **J NO period for reply is appoilised above, the reaction of the interest that the interest that is addressed to the interest that the interest that the maintendent of the interest that the interest that the maintendent of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  **Status**  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2c) □ This action is 11, 19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) □ Claim(s) 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7d) □ The drawing(s) filed on 15/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 15/are: a) □ accepte	Office Action Summary		09/636,039	GRAHAM ET AL.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION:  - Exemetors of time may be available under the proteines of 3 CPR 1.136(b). In or event, however, may steply be timely fined  - If NO period for reply is sponffled above, the maintainum statistory proteined will party and will explore SM (00) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended period for reply is sponffled above, the maintainum statistory proteined will party and will explore SM (00) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended period for reply is sponffled above, the maintainum statistory proteined will party and will explore SM (00) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended period for reply is specified above.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended period for reply is specified to be communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended will party and will explore SM (00) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended will party and will reply sold the protein the specified period from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to specify within the set or extended will party and will reply sold			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - after SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication IN Do partod for epily a specified above, he madema statistary period will apply and will agains (5) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Fairlive to right within the set or extended partod for reply will, by a statists, cause the application to inscerned ABMOOKED (55 U.S. 5, 13.5) Fairlive to right within the set or extended partod for reply will, by a statists, period will apply and will agains (5) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Fairlive to right within the set or extended partod for reply will, by a statists, cause the application to inscerned ABMOOKED (55 U.S. 5, 13.5) Fairlive to right within the set or extended partod for reply will, by a statists, cause the application to inscerned ABMOOKED (55 U.S. 5, 13.5) Fairlive to right within the set or extended partod for reply will, by a statists, and the communication, and partod for reply will, by a statists, and the communication, and the communication of the communication, and the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication.  - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Application of United Agrae allowed Claim(s)			·					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edemisers of time may be available under the provision of 30° FR 11860, his or event, however, may a reply the timely flied effect SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, of will apply and will rapin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, which is supply to the provision of the provision			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q5 April 2006</i> .  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) <i>11-19.30-38.40 and 41</i> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)☐ Claim(s) <i>11-19.30-38.40 and 41</i> is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s)   <i>11-19.30-38.40 and 41</i> is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b☐ Some * O∫ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Application Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1430 PTO/SB08)  Paper No(e)/Mail Date 4/5/2005.  Attachment(e)  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:	WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	oril 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6(Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ONe of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paftspreson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Oraftspreson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: — 61  1	•							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to prediction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Shotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Shotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3)□	<u></u>						
4)	,—							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:	Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Peferences Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other:			in the application					
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)  All  b)  Some * c)  None of:         1.	٠/ڪ							
o) Claim(s) 11-19.30-38.40 and 41 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5)□							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of References Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/52006.  6) Other:  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	·	·_						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Graffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/52006.  6) Other:  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	· —							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.	<i>,</i> —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Applicat	ion Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:		•	r					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	-							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	10)[]							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.			- · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)	441							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.	11)	The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Onice	Action of form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  6) Other:	Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Potential Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	-		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  6) ☐ Other:	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	A44 n.a.b c	*(a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2006.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/2006</u> . 6) Other:	2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
				'atent Application (PTO-152)				
	<u> </u>		J) [_] Outer,					

Application/Control Number: 09/636,039 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE and IDS filed on 4/5/2006.

2. Claims 11-19, 30-38, 40 and 41 are pending in this case. Claims 11, 19, 30, 38, 40, and 41 are independent claims. Claims 11, 14-16, 19, 30, 33-35, 38, 40, and 41 have been amended. Claims 1-10, 20-29, and 29 have been cancelled.

- 3. The rejection of claims 1, 9, 20, 28, and 39 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 29, 30, 33, 39, 40, 43, 44, 47, 53, 54, 57, 58, and 59 of U.S. Patent No. 10/214,380 has been due to the cancellation of the rejected claims.
- 4. The rejection of Claims 11 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Acrobat Reader (hereinafter Acrobat, Adobe Acrobat Reader, published in 1999) has been withdrawn due to amendments.
- 5. The rejection of Claims 12, 13, 17, 18, 31, 32, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acrobat Reader (hereinafter Acrobat, Adobe Acrobat Reader, published in 1999) has been withdrawn due to amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 11-15, 17-19, 30-34, 36-38, and 40-41 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acrobat Reader (hereinafter Acrobat, Adobe
Acrobat Reader, published in 1999) in view of Nielsen (US Patent Number 6,339,437,
filed on September 30, 1997) and further in view of Hart et al. (hereinafter Hart, US
Patent Number 5,546,502, issued August 13, 1999).

Page 3

In regard to independent claim 11, Acrobat discloses a method in which a document is accessed and a section of that document is shown in a first area and thumbnails of the document are displayed in a second area (Page 1-3 of Acrobat). Acrobat also discloses a method in which information about the contents of a document; including dimensions and locations of items (coordinates) are determined, in order to correctly place the information in the thumbnail representation (Page 1-3 of Acrobat). Acrobat also discloses that the portion of the thumbnail window corresponding to the part of the document being displayed is highlighted (emphasized) and changed dynamically as the portion that is viewed is changed to a different portion (Page 1-4, items 1-4 of Acrobat, the box in the thumbnail changes based on the portion being viewed). Acrobat discloses a method in which determining information about a document (coordinates and dimension) includes determining information about text, forms, graphics, images, and links (Pages 1-5 of Acrobat).

Acrobat does not disclose that text entities are annotated according to style information if they are relevant to any of a plurality (first and second) of concepts.

However, Nielsen discloses a method in which a document is accessed and it is searched to identify text patterns that are relevant to user queries (plurality of concepts).

which are received from the user (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). Nielsen discloses a method in which search terms are supplied via user queries and a document is searched to identify text patterns that match those search terms (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). The text patterns that match the queries are then marked using tags and highlighted with color (annotated) to emphasize their position as the document is viewed (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the method of viewing a document by Acrobat with the method of searching a document by Nielsen because it would have provided a user with a simple way to search and identify terms when viewing a document.

Neither Acrobat nor Nielsen disclose a method in which the selects from plurality of concepts rather than entering a query, the concepts having keywords associated with them. However, Hart discloses a method in which a user selects concepts (symptoms) and the system searches for the concepts and commonly known keywords (faults) associated with the concepts in the document and presents the sections of the document that deal with the concepts and text patterns associated with the concepts (symptoms and faults) out to the user (column 3, line 39-column 4, line 61 of Hart). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Acrobat and Nielsen with the query-free teachings of Hart because it would have provided relevant documentation for the current context more efficiently without the user having to formulate a query and wait for results (column 6, lines 31-44 of Hart).

In regard to dependent claim 12, Acrobat discloses a method in which information about the contents of a document; including dimensions and locations of items (coordinates) are determined, in order to correctly place the information in the thumbnail representation (Page 1-3 of Acrobat).

In regard to dependent claim 13, Acrobat discloses a method in which the thumbnail sizes can be changed to a different reduction level (reduction ratio) and the content still correctly corresponds to the original document (Page 1 and 5, items 1 and 5 of Acrobat).

In regard to dependent claim 14, neither Acrobat nor Nielsen disclose a method in which the selects from plurality of concepts rather than entering a query, the concepts having keywords associated with them. However, Hart discloses a method in which a user selects concepts (symptoms) and the system searches for the concepts and commonly known keywords (faults) associated with the concepts in the document and presents the sections of the document that deal with the concepts and text patterns associated with the concepts (symptoms and faults) out to the user (column 3, line 39-column 4, line 61 of Hart). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Acrobat and Nielsen with the query-free teachings of Hart because it would have provided relevant documentation for the current context more efficiently without the user having to formulate a query and wait for results (column 6, lines 31-44 of Hart).

In regard to dependent claim 15, Acrobat discloses a method in which the thumbnail representations of the document incorporate all formatting of that document which would include highlighted text entities (Pages 1-5 of Acrobat).

In regard to dependent claim 17, Acrobat discloses a method in which determining information about a document (coordinates and dimension) for creating thumbnail representations of the document incorporate all formatting of that document (Pages 1-5 of Acrobat).

In regard to dependent claim 18, Acrobat discloses a method in which determining information about a document (coordinates and dimension) includes determining information about text, forms, graphics, images, and links (Pages 1-5 of Acrobat).

In regard to independent claim 19, Acrobat discloses a method in which a document is accessed and a section of that document is shown in a first area and thumbnails of the document are displayed in a second area (Page 1-3 of Acrobat). Acrobat also discloses a method in which information about the contents of a document; including dimensions and locations of items (coordinates) are determined, in order to correctly place the information in the thumbnail representation (Page 1-3 of Acrobat). Acrobat also discloses that the portion of the thumbnail window corresponding to the part of the document being displayed is highlighted (emphasized) and changed dynamically as the portion that is viewed is changed to a different portion (Page 1-4, items 1-4 of Acrobat, the box in the thumbnail changes based on the portion being viewed).

Acrobat does not disclose that text entities are annotated according to style information if they are relevant to any of a plurality of concepts. However, Nielsen discloses a method in which a document is accessed and it is searched to identify text patterns that are relevant to user queries (plurality of concepts), which are received from the user (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). Nielsen discloses a method in which search terms are supplied via user queries and a document is searched to identify text patterns that match those search terms (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). The text patterns that match the queries are then marked using tags and highlighted with color (annotated) to emphasize their position as the document is viewed, in a manner that is independent of a second query being made (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the method of viewing a document by Acrobat with the method of searching a document by Nielsen because it would have provided a user with a simple way to search and identify terms when viewing a document.

Neither Acrobat nor Nielsen disclose a method in which the selects from plurality of concepts rather than entering a query, the concepts having keywords associated with them. However, Hart discloses a method in which a user selects concepts (symptoms) and the system searches for the concepts and commonly known keywords (faults) associated with the concepts in the document and presents the sections of the document that deal with the concepts and text patterns associated with the concepts (symptoms and faults) out to the user (column 3, line 39-column 4, line 61 of Hart). It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Acrobat and Nielsen with the query-free teachings of Hart because it would have provided relevant documentation for the current context more efficiently without the user having to formulate a query and wait for results (column 6, lines 31-44 of Hart).

In regard to independent claim 30 and dependent claims 31-34 and 36-37, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 11-15 and 17-18. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 11-15 and 17-18.

In regard to independent claims 38 and 40-41, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claim 19. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claim 19.

8. Claims 16 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acrobat Reader (hereinafter Acrobat, Adobe Acrobat Reader, published in 1999) in view of Nielsen (US Patent Number 6,339,437, filed on September 30, 1997) further in view of Hart et al. (hereinafter Hart, US Patent Number 5,546,502, issued August 13, 1999) and further in view of Okamoto et al. (hereinafter Okamoto, US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0065814, US Filing date June 30, 1999).

In regard to dependent claim 16, none of Acrobat, Nielsen, or Hart disclose a method in which the style information relevant to a concept is modified and in response all entities that correspond to that concept are changed to correspond with the new style information. However, Okamoto discloses a method in which a concept tag number,

which corresponds to one of a plurality of concepts, is directly associated with a specific style (page 12, paragraph 0270-0276 of Okamoto). When that style information is changed all tags corresponding to the tag number associated with that style information will reflect that change (page 12, paragraph 0270-0276 of Okamoto). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the method of viewing a document by Acrobat with the method of searching a document by Okamoto because it would have provided a user with a simple way to search and customize the identification of terms when viewing a document.

Page 9

In regard to dependent claim 35, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 16. Thus, the claim is rejected along the same rationale as claim 16.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments on pages 11-14 with respect to claims 11, 19, 30, 38, 40, and 41, the examiner maintains that the rejection is proper. The amendment to the claim states, "... the single thumbnail image displaying the contents of the document in a continuous non-paginated form." Acrobat also discloses a method in which information about the contents of a document; including dimensions and locations of items (coordinates) are determined, in order to correctly place the information in the thumbnail representation (Page 1-3 of Acrobat). Acrobat also discloses that the portion

of the thumbnail window corresponding to the part of the document being displayed is highlighted (emphasized) and changed dynamically as the portion that is viewed is changed to a different portion (Page 1-4, items 1-4 of Acrobat, the box in the thumbnail changes based on the portion being viewed). Which as stated changes the display of the contents of the thumbnail image (replacing the viewing window based on where in the document the user is viewing), not the actual contents of the thumbnail image. If a document exists without pagination, for instance a single page document or a web-page style document that is large without page breaks the thumbnail image also contains no document. The evidence shown by Adobe Creative Team (Adobe Acrobat 4.0 Classroom in a Book, second edition) shows that documents such as this (i.e. web-page style document with a single page that is very large) can be created and viewed in Acrobat 4.0 (Pages 1-4 "Settings options for converting Web pages" emphasis on sizing abilities on Page 4, Item "11"), which would create thumbnail images without pagination in response.

Applicant also argues the limitation that the first concept and the indication of relevancy for the first concept is independent of a second concept selected. Nielsen discloses a method in which a document is accessed and it is searched to identify text patterns that are relevant to user queries (plurality of concepts), which are received from the user (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). Nielsen discloses a method in which search terms are supplied via user queries and a document is searched to identify text patterns that match those search terms (column 1, line 17-column 2, line 16 of Nielsen). Hart is merely referenced to point out the obviousness to one of ordinary

skill in the art of the ability to generate a query via selection rather than having to manually derive the entire query. The Hart reference simply shows the process of generating one single query, while the Nielsen reference discloses the information necessary to understand how multiple queries could be handled independently of each other. Thus, in the claims current form they do not overcome the rejection by the Acrobat reference as stated.

Regarding applicant's arguments on pages 14 and 15 with respect to claims 12-18 and 31-37, the examiner maintains that the rejection is proper. Hart discloses a method in which a user selects concepts (symptoms) and the system searches for the concepts and commonly known keywords (faults) associated with the concepts in the document and presents the sections of the document that deal with the concepts and text patterns associated with the concepts (symptoms and faults) out to the user (column 3, line 39-column 4, line 61 of Hart).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D. Campbell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4133. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/636,039 Page 12

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JDC June 1, 2006

STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER